



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|---|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 09/635,113 | 08/09/2000 | Aolf Proidl | РНО 99.536 | 5961 | |
| 24737 75 | 737 7590 03/11/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS | | | DUGGINS, | DUGGINS, ALICIA M | |
| | P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 | | | PAPER NUMBER | |
| BRIARCEITT | MANOK, NT 10310 | | 2613 | 0 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004 | ı Ö | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summany | 09/635,113 | PROIDL, AOLF | | | |
| · Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| TI MAIL INO DATE of this account is also account | Alicia M Duggins | 2613 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 A</u> | ugust 2000. | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5.7-8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | | - | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)⊡ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acco | epted or b) objected to by the | Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex | ammer. Note the attached Office | ACTION OF TOTAL | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | |

. Application/Control Number: 09/635,113

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 08/09/2000 (Listing US. serial number 09/155748 filed on October 5, 1998) fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rijckaert (US6222981).

Regarding claims 1 and 6 Rijckaert shows a reproducing device 140 (fig. 14) including:

• Transport means 250 (fig.14) (col.27 II.3-5)

Application/Control Number: 09/635,113

Art Unit: 2613

Reproducing means (col. 27 II.1-15)

Test means 270 (fig.14) (col.27 II.56-67)

Regarding claim 2 Rijckaert shows that the reproducing means are adaptable to the DVHS standard (col.1 II.47-49)

Regarding claim 3, Rijcakert shows that the second tick=play speed corresponds to +/- 12 times the normal play speed (col.3 II.49-51)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higurashi(US6031679) in view of Rijckaert (US6222981).

Rickjaert teaches a reproducing device with a magnetic tape having a normal play speed, but does not specify a value for the normal play speed. A reproducing device with a normal play speed of 16.67mm/s is shown in Higurashi (col.13 II.61-67). It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to specify the value of the normal play speed of magnetic tape through a reproduction apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 09/635,113

Art Unit: 2613

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5 and 7-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Wu et al. (US5615018)

Higurashi et al. (US6191900)

McLaren (US6122433)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M Duggins whose telephone number is (703)305-5621. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on (703)305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/635,113

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMD 3/4/2004

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000